

N. Mhaddolkar, A. Tischberger-Aldrian

## Background:

- Group 1: Biobased biodegradable (e.g. Starch-based);
- Group 2: Biobased non-biodegradable (e.g. bio-PET);
- Group 3: Fossil-based biodegradable (e.g. PBAT).
- Bioplastics are **1%** share of the total plastic production.
- **Group 2** bioplastics share **35.8%**.
- **Group 1 & 3** bioplastics share **64.2%**.
- Total bioplastic market share envisaged to **increase dynamically** in future.
- Wide application area – **48% packaging**.

## Research question:

Is the existing waste management infrastructure equipped to handle the bioplastic waste?

## Methodology:

- Systematic literature review, using Google Scholar and ScienceDirect databases.
- 244 papers related to bioplastic waste management were referred.
- Review paper to be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

## Biobased Non-biodegradable Bioplastics (Group 2)

- Could be collected with the other light weight packaging waste.

- Chemically and physically similar to conventional plastics – so, easily sorted.

- Drop-in bioplastics.
- Could be recycled in the existing conventional plastic infrastructure.

Waste management infrastructure

Collection

Sorting

Recycling

## Biodegradable Bioplastics (Group 1 & 3)

- Confusion about where to collect.
- Biodegradable packaging waste to be collected with light weight packaging or residual waste.
- Certified compostable bags could be disposed with biowaste.
- Different countries, different legislations.

- Variety of bioplastics available.
- Ideally could be sorted out; however, machines need to be taught with the new materials.

- Act as contaminants for the conventional plastic recycling streams.
- Biodegradability major attraction; however, depends on the biowaste treatment facility acceptance & available infrastructure.
- So far, majorly incinerated.

## Conclusion:

- Sustainability of bioplastics could only be accrued when they have a **proper waste management**.
- **Group 2** bioplastics could be effectively handled with the **conventional plastics' recycling scheme**.
- **Group 1 & 3** could be seen as **contaminants** for **conventional plastic recyclates & biowaste**.
- **Current** waste management infrastructure is **not equipped** to **handle recycling** of the biodegradable plastics influx, and **should develop** to meet the **expected rise** in bioplastic quantity.

## Bibliography:

- Åkesson, D., Kuzhanthaivelu, G., & Bohlén, M. (2021). Effect of a Small Amount of Thermoplastic Starch Blend on the Mechanical Recycling of Conventional Plastics. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 29(3), 985–991. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-020-01933-2>
- Briassoulis, D., Pikasi, A., & Hiskakis, M. (2020). Recirculation potential of post-consumer /industrial bio-based plastics through mechanical recycling - Techno-economic sustainability criteria and indicators. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 109217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2020.109217>
- Calabrò, P. S., & Grosso, M. (2018). Bioplastics and waste management. *Waste Management (New York, N.Y.)*, 78, 800–801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.06.054>
- European Bioplastics. (2020). FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ON BIOPLASTICS. European Bioplastics. [https://docs.european-bioplastics.org/publications/EUBP\\_FAQ\\_on\\_bioplastics.pdf](https://docs.european-bioplastics.org/publications/EUBP_FAQ_on_bioplastics.pdf)
- European Bioplastics e.V. (2021). Bioplastics market data. European Bioplastics. <https://www.european-bioplastics.org/market/>
- Kakadellis, S., Woods, J., & Harris, Z. M. (2021). Friend or foe: Stakeholder attitudes towards bio-degradable plastic packaging in food waste anaerobic digestion. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 169, 105529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105529>
- Meeks, D., Hottle, T., Bilec, M. M., & Landis, A. E. (2015). Compostable biopolymer use in the real world: Stakeholder interviews to better understand the motivations and realities of use and disposal in the US. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 105, 134–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.10.022>
- Nandakumar, A., Chuah, J.-A., & Sudesh, K. (2021). Bioplastics: A boon or bane? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 147, 111237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111237>
- Niaounakis, M. (2019). Recycling of biopolymers – The patent perspective. *European Polymer Journal*, 114, 464–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2019.02.027>
- Rahman, M. H., & Bhoi, P. R. (2021). An overview of non-biodegradable bioplastics. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 294, 126218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126218>

Contact person for poster:

M. Eng., Namrata, Mhaddolkar

Lehrstuhl für Abfallverwertungstechnik und Abfallwirtschaft

(AVAW), Montanuniversität Leoben


Franz-Josef-Straße 18, 8700 Leoben, Österreich

Phone number: +43 (0)38424025112

E-Mail:

[namrata.mhaddolkar@unileoben.ac.at](mailto:namrata.mhaddolkar@unileoben.ac.at)

Webseite: LinkedIn (Scan the QR Code)



C-PlaNeT  
CIRCULAR PLASTICS NETWORK  
FOR TRAINING



The project C-PlaNeT has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement No. 859885.